

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,850	07/14/2003	Alexander J. Pasadyn	2000.107600	7582
23720	7590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	S, MORGAN & AMER	KIM, PAUL L		
	10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		2857		
		DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		PASADYN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	10/619,850 Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul L Kim	2857			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	July 2003.				
· _ · · 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/619,850

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 7-12, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ward et al.

With regard to claims 1, 10, and 19, Ward et al teaches a method and manufacturing system comprising: determining a metric associated with each of a plurality of metrology tools (figs. 6-8), generating a metrology request including context information (¶ 34), identifying a precision requirement for the metrology request based on the context information (fig. 10, step 1005), and identifying a set of the tools capable of satisfying the metrology request based on the precision requirement and the precision metrics (¶ 34).

With regard to claims 2, 3, 11, and 12, Ward et al teaches selecting one of the metrology tools having a metric closest to the precision requirement (¶ 61).

With regard to claims 7 and 16, Ward et al teaches extracting the precision requirement from the context information (¶ 63).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,850

Art Unit: 2857

With regard to claims 8 and 17, Ward et al teaches extracting a metrology event type and associating the event type with the precision requirement (\P 67).

With regard to claims 9 and 18, Ward et al teaches generating the request for a control model update event (¶ 84).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward et al in view of Purdy et al.

Ward et al teaches the system checking resource availabilities of certain tools (¶ 84), but does not teach identifying or selecting tools that are responsible for the bottleneck. Purdy et al teaches a method for processing semiconductor devices in which a bottleneck situation in the processing system is identified, a different tool is selected responsive to the bottleneck condition, and the old tool removed (col. 7, lines 3-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Ward et al, so that bottleneck conditions are identified, as taught by Purdy et al, in order to improve manufacturing efficiency for cost savings and time reduction.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,850

Art Unit: 2857

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lamey, Jr. et al teaches identifying sources of semiconductor wafer defects and using the information to control the processing tools.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PK

December 9, 2004

CATTICK ASSOUAD PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4